Approach:

The proposal's approach for presenting the topic (Foundational, Applied, Strategic) seems appropriate: 0 (for this session to be a benefit to the typical regional conference attendee it should be approached from a different perspective/level) or 1 (the level of the proposal is appropriate)

Format:

Is the format for the topic appropriate for the topic (ex: Workshop, Roundtable, Case Study, etc.): 0 (not appropriate at all), 1(either appropriate or is likely to be easily refined into a format that will work) or 2 (very appropriate)

Relevance:

Topic relevance for typical regional conference attendees: 0 (not at all relevant) to 5 (very relevant)

Takeaways:

Learning outcomes are clear, relevant, and achievable: 1 (outcomes lacking) to 5 (outcomes are very appropriate and achievable)

Engagement:

Plans/Strategies to engage audience are clear, achievable, and appropriate for typical MEMS Seminar audiences: 1 (engagement lacking) to 5 (very engaging and achievable)

Expertise:

Presenter and/or Institution/Organization are highly regarded and seen as an authority on the topic: 0 (not an authority), 1 (not necessarily an authority but that won't be an issue) to 2 (is an authority)

Overall:

Overall interest in the proposal - do you like it enough to want to see it on the program? 1 (no, not at all) to 10 (yes, would love to see it at the Annual Conference)